

222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27667-2022

Date of Decision: 23.11.2022

VICKY

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Sanjeev Majra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No. 271 dated 11.04.2022 under Sections 148/149/323/354/452/341/325 IPC and Sections 8 and 12 of POCSO Act registered at Police Station Samalkha, District, Panipat.

Custody certificate has been placed on record.

Briefly, as per the allegations of the prosecution, the petitioner alongwith co-accused had been passing lewd comments upon her and had also inflicted injuries upon the person of her father.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the course of trial, the victim and her father have not supported the prosecution version. They have not identified the petitioner as the person who has committed the crime. The custody certificate indicates that the petitioner is in custody for a period of 06 months and 18 days and not involved in any other case.

The aforesaid factual assertions have not been disputed by the learned State counsel.

In these set of circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, without making any observation on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

23.11.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No