

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270(3)

**CRM-M-52886-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.11.2022**

Pankaj Kumar

Versus

..... Petitioner

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, APP, for the respondent-U.T.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. K.P.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2/complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

On 24.01.2020 i.e. prior to Covid Pandemic, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. After that on 29.10.2022, again none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and it was clarified that in case the petitioner is remain unrepresented on the next date of hearing, this Court shall presume that petitioner is not interested in pressing the matter and this Court shall dismiss the matter for non-prosecution. Even today, none has appeared on his behalf. As such, it appears that the petitioner is no more interested to pursue the present petition.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.11.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No