

COC-1582-2021

Date of Decision :19.01.2022

Jarmanjit Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Shri Dhruvan H.Nimbale and others

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure C-1 dated 18.11.2020, in CRWP-9538-2020, in case titled as Jarmanjit Singh vs. State of Punjab and others.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure C-1, dated 18.11.2020, CRWP-9538-2020, was disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to visit respondent No.3 and substantiate his complaint/grievances within ten days from the date of order and in the meantime, respondent No.2 was directed to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner was not endangered and that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to take any decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.3.

State counsel to obtain instructions and to assist in the matter. Pursuant thereto, reply has been filed by way of affidavit of Dhruvan H.Nimbalei.e. respondent No.1 along with Annexure R-1/T, as per which the claim of the petitioner as per averments in the representation were not substantiated.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R-1/T, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

6. In view of the position noted above as well as order Annexure R-1/T, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R-1/T, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.01.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No