

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-6170-2022

Decided on : 27.06.2022

Seema and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. PP, U.T. Chandigarh.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the petitioners have given a statement that they have no apprehension to their life and liberty at present.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in view of the above, has submitted that she does not wish to press the present petition at this stage.

In view of the same, present petition has been rendered infructuous at this stage and is disposed of as such.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a fresh representation before respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police in case of any apprehension in future and in case, such a representation is moved then, respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the matter and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

June 27th, 2022

Mehak

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No