

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

267

CRA-S-1118-2022

Date of decision: 06.09.2022

SUKHA SINGH

.....Appellant

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Sabharwal, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Saroa, Advocate
for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred against the order dated 13.06.2022 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur vide which the application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed by the appellant for pre-arrest bail has been dismissed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 24.06.2022, the petitioner has surrendered before the Duty Magistrate and had been admitted to interim bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh contends that the petitioner has already joined investigation and his custodial detention is not required.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that the complainant had been called to the Police Station on the complaint filed against her by the petitioner and she suffered humiliation as a result thereof.

I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has already been released on interim regular bail after surrendering before the Duty Magistrate and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency as well as the fact that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner, the order dated 24.06.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed off.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 06, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No