

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-30622-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.04.2022

KARAN KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.77 dated 26.04.2021, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 03.08.2021, the Coordinate Bench of this Court, after noticing the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter had been settled between the parties and the petitioner was ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs.6,50,000/- to the complainant, had issued notice of motion and stayed the arrest of the petitioner.

On 10.09.2021, at the request of both the parties, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre Bathinda, to explore the possibility of an amicable settlement, if any. Thereafter, again on 09.11.2021, the time for mediation was extended, but the mediation remained unsuccessful.

On 28.02.2022, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for two weeks' time to bring the demand draft for Rs.6,50,000/- in favour of the complainant and accordingly, the matter was adjourned to 22.03.2022.

On 22.03.2022, an adjournment was again sought for on behalf of the petitioner, on the ground that the arguing counsel was not well and the matter was adjourned to 29.03.2022.

The petitioner despite having availed number of opportunities, has not bothered to comply with the orders passed by this Court. The petitioner cannot be allowed to enjoy the interim protection at the cost of violation of the orders either passed by this Court or the Coordinate Bench. Further, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today. It appears that the petitioner is intentionally not appearing in the matter and is not interested in pursuing the same.

In view of the above, the petitioner is not entitled for any further indulgence.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

07.04.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>