

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(336)

CWP-14529-2021

Date of Decision : September 20, 2022

Chanchal Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that while working as Extension Lecturer, the petitioner has already done her Phd., which entitles her for higher salary in comparison to what is being paid to her as of now.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Extension Lectures, who have completed the Phd degree and are qualified as per the rules governing the service, are entitled for the salary of Rs.57,700/-, which salary needs to be paid to the petitioner by the respondents keeping in view the qualification which the petitioner has as of now. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the portal, which describe the

qualification of the petitioner needs to be updated by the respondents and the respondents are under an obligation to consider the claim of the petitioner for the grant of higher salary keeping in view the qualification which the petitioner secured during her service.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the non-updating of her qualification or for the grant of higher salary keeping in view the academic qualification of the petitioner, in case the same is raised before the competent authority, the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will also be extended to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

September 20, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No