

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.213

CRM-M-52541-2018

Date of decision: 21.01.2022

Sagar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.43 dated 08.02.2017, registered under Section 392 IPC (charges framed under Sections 395 & 397 IPC) at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Learned State counsel submits that out of 18 prosecution witnesses 16 have already been examined and the remaining 02 witnesses ASI Kuldip and Constable Sarfraj have since expired.

The entire prosecution evidence has been led. Therefore, this Court is of the opinion that at this stage, it is not desirable to release the petitioner on regular bail especially when three other FIRs are also pending against him.

Dismissed.

However, the Trial Court is directed to dispose of the petitioner's trial within two months from the date next fixed before it, in accordance with law.

January 21, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No