

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 202(2)

CRM-M No.27435 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 05.08.2022

Satwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in Cross Case Report No.16 dated 24.02.2022 under Sections 354, 342, 323 and 506 IPC in FIR No.399 dated 13.11.2021 registered at Police Station Sohana, district S.A.S. Nagar.

On 27.06.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the present case is a case of version and cross version and FIR no.399 dated 13.11.2021 was registered on the statement of Sohan Singh, against the persons who are the complainant party in the Cross Case Report no.16 dated 24.02.2022 in which the petitioner has been made an accused. It is submitted that the only offence alleged in the said cross case report which is non bailable, is under Section 354 IPC, regarding which also the allegations have been levelled against co-accused Sohan Singh and not against the petitioner. It is also submitted that it is the

complainant party which was the aggressor as the incident is stated to have taken place in the house of the brother-in-law of the petitioner, i.e. Sohan Singh. It is further submitted that co-accused of the petitioner namely Navneet Singh has also filed a petition for anticipatory bail in which notice of motion has been issued and the same is now listed for 05.08.2022 and interim protection has been granted in the same.

Notice of motion for 05.08.2022.

To be heard along with CRM-M-19096-2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting / Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 27.06.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

05.08.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether reportable : Yes / No

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No