

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-27396-2020
Date of Decision: 21.07.2022

Sukhwant Kaur
Versus

State of Haryana
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Ms. Samridhi Jain, Advocate for
Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had joined the investigation and fully co-operated.

On instructions from ASI Rajender Singh, learned State counsel does not refute the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Given above, considering the stage of investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 29.06.2022 is made absolute, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) Given the nature of allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.
- (ii) Till the completion of the trial, the petitioner shall not contact, call, text, message, remark, stare, stalk, make any gestures or express any unusual or inappropriate, verbal or otherwise objectionable behavior towards the victim and victim's family, either physically, or through phone call or any other social media, through any other mode, nor shall unnecessarily roam around the victim's home.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

21.07.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No