

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP No. 14340 of 2021

Date of Decision : 24.03.2022

Bhale Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner had served the Indian Army from 24.12.1965 to 23.04.1974 before joining the service of the respondent-State in the department of Excise & Taxation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled for the grant of benefit of the said period of his service with the Army towards pensionary benefits, which benefits have not been released to him and that too without any valid justification despite the fact that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered for the said purpose in accordance with rules governing his service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the benefits, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has made

consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the said representation dated 16.12.2019 (Annexure P-16).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 16.12.2019 (Annexure P-16) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the representation dated 16.12.2019 (Annexure P-16) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order. In case, after the decision of the claim of the petitioner, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

March 24, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*