

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6530 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 24, 2022

Komalpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition that has been filed seeking to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of writ of *Mandamus* to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of the official as well as private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein *inter alia* would contend that a marriage had been solemnized between the petitioner and respondent No.5 and at the given time, valuable gifts were given to all the private respondents and apart from that, sufficient amount had been spent on the marriage. However, the private respondents started harassing the petitioner herein to bring more dowry. On account of the treatment meted out to her, the petitioner got FIR No.150 dated 03.07.2019 registered under

Sections 498-A, 342, 323, 120-B of Indian Penal Code (Section 406 of IPC added later on) at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran against the accused persons. It is contended that after the registration of the said FIR, the private respondents herein have been pressurizing the petitioner to settle the dispute and withdraw the FIR. In this regard, the petitioner herein had filed two representations dated 15.06.2020 and 20.08.2020 seeking an action to be taken against the private respondents, however, as on date, no action has been taken against them, despite an FIR No.239 dated 19.08.2020, registered under Sections 323, 314, 149, 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran. It is contended that investigating in FIR No.239 dated 19.08.2020 should be handed over to the another agency.

Notice of motion was issued in this matter and pursuant to which, two replies have been filed by the respondent-State. As per the reply dated 18.12.2020, a categoric stand has been taken that the dispute between the parties has arisen out of the matrimony and that the matter has been thoroughly investigated by the Special Investigating Team (SIT) and the allegations made in the representations are not substantiated.

Counsel for the respondent-State would argue that father of respondent No.8 and other private respondents have also filed representations, which are pending consideration.

In view of the reply filed to the effect that the matter has been investigated and proceedings under Section 107/150/151 Cr.P.C. have been initiated vide DDR No.28 dated 04.06.2020 and the allegations that the petitioner herein is being pressurized, have been found to be incorrect, no

further orders are called for in the instant petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 24, 2022
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No