

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 288(2)

CRM-M No. 9927 of 2016

Date of decision : 26.07.2022

Nitin Madaan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sonia Madaan

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Nikhil Vats, Advocate for
Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for
Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that since the present petition had been filed against the order of the Trial Court determining interim maintenance and while the present petition was pending before this Court the Trial Court has determined the final maintenance, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

26.07.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No