

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27133-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.07.2022

Mahant Baba Ram Dass @ Mahant Ram Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sher Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 81 dated 28.04.2022, registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Patiala, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, pursuant to the order dated 22.06.2022, an amount of Rs. 1 lakh by way of a Demand Draft in the name of the complainant already stands deposited with the Registry and today, learned counsel for the petitioner is handing over an amount of Rs. 3 lakh through a Demand Draft dated 26.07.2022 in the Court.

Vide order dated 22.06.2022, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 22.06.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harinder Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

The learned counsel for the complainant submits that he has no objection, if the order dated 22.06.2022 is made absolute.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 22.06.2022 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

27.07.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No