

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27164-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2022

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vinay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 158 dated 12.06.2021 registered under Section 67-B of the Information Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station Division B, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that though the mobile number is in the name of the petitioner but no pornographic film/video(s) has ever been uploaded or shared by him and that the petitioner has never sexually exploited any children.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the paper-book.

An inquiry was conducted by the investigating agency and it was found that the petitioner has made pornographic film on his mobile and

shared the same through different IDs and accordingly, the present FIR was registered. The mobile number 99883-58320 used in the crime is in the name of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and specific role of the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is must to unearth the racket of the people involved in such crime. Therefore, I do not find any ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

05.07.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No