

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27054-2022

Date of Decision: 23.08.2022

Ranjeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.91 dated 20.03.2021, under Sections 306 & 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is a lady aged 47 years and is in custody since 07.05.2022. He further submitted that the investigation of the case has already been completed by the police and thereafter, the report under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. has been presented before the competent Court. He also submitted that the allegations against the petitioner were that due to the conduct of the petitioner, her husband has committed suicide. He further submitted that the

present FIR has been lodged under Section 306/34 IPC and the ingredients under Sections 306 & 107 IPC are not fulfilled and even otherwise also, the allegations are required to be proved or disproved at the time of trial and since the investigation of the case has already been completed, the petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, learned DAG, Haryana has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2022 and the investigation of the case has been completed and thereafter, challan has also been presented before the competent Court on 04.07.2022.

Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant and stated that before the death of the husband of the petitioner, a video was also got recorded in which various allegations have been made against the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner, who is a lady aged 47 years, is in custody since 07.05.2022. The investigation of the case has already been completed and no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. The submissions made by the learned counsel for the complainant are to be seen by way of adducing evidence at the time of trial. Furthermore, it is not the case of the learned State Counsel that in case the petitioner is released on bail then she may abscond or flee from justice or may influence any witness. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the petitioner is a lady aged 47 years, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

23.08.2022

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |