

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. LPA-508-2022,

NARANG SINGH AND ANOTHERAPPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

2. CRM-W-809-2022 in CRWP-3251-2022

RASHNEET KAURPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

3. CRWP-6432-2022

AVIKASH TURKAPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

DECIDED ON: 29.07.2022

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Narang Singh, appellant No.1 in person with
Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the appellants in LPA-508-2022 and
for respondents No.6 and 7 in CRWP-3251-2022.

Mr. Ashish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRWP-6432-2022.

Ms. Rashneet Kaur, respondent No.7 in person with
Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.7 in LPA-508-2022.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

The matter has come up for hearing before us, where it is acknowledged that the child is visiting the father and the grandparents on weekends. It was brought to the notice of this Court that the grandparents are

not able to talk to the child, which aspect although disputed by the counsel for the respondent on instructions from respondent No.7. We feel it appropriate to fix some time where and within which the grandparents can talk to the child. On interaction, it has come out that it is convenient for both the parties to talk within the span of 10 to 12 in the morning as the child is to go for her tuitions at 3:00 p.m. Accordingly, we permit the grandparents and the father to talk to the child for a total span of 20 minutes. Calls can be made on 3 days in a week i.e. Monday, Wednesday and Thursday. Similarly, the mother would be permitted to call the child and talk to her within the same period on Saturday.

The matter having been amicably settled between the parties, we dispose of these cases with a hope and wish that the other disputes, if any, may be amicably settled as the present one.

Parties would be bound by the terms and conditions of the agreement as has been arrived at between the parties during the mediation and conciliation proceedings held in this Court.

It is made clear that respondent No.7 has also agreed that this arrangement of talking with the grand-child/daughter would continue after the child goes out of the country with the mother. Obviously, the timing would change accordingly to the convenience of the child.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

29.07.2022

poonam negi

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>