

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

281

CRM-M-24717-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.11.2022

Ranjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Khullar, AAG Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This petition is for quashing of FIR No. 100 dated 01.12.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala, along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 18.03.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 27.08.2020, the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to record the statements of all concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise.

In compliance thereof, the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial

Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, has submitted a report vide letter dated 03.05.2021, which indicates that in the present case a cross version DDR No. 18 dated 01.12.2016 was also recorded by the petitioner and on 15.02.2021, they both appeared and suffered separate statements that they agree with the cancellation report and do not want to pursue further with the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent No.2 point out that the cancellation report has already been accepted by the learned trial Court vide order dated 15.02.2021.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the documents on record.

Since the cancellation report has already been accepted by the learned trial Court, no further order is called for.

Disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

30.11.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No