

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-26840 of 2022 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 28th July, 2022

Rajiv Kumar

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Dhani Ram submits that the petitioner has been arrested.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to petitioner for recalling of order in case there is factual discrepancy in the statement made by State counsel.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

28th July, 2022

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*