

COCP-1600-2021

Date of Decision :22.09.2022

Rakesh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Ashok Meena and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 17.11.2020 in CWP-19512-2020.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 17.11.2020, reveals that CWP-19512-2020, was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice served by the petitioners as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, after giving opportunity to the petitioners to explain their position in case a specific request was made by the petitioners.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that claim of the petitioners as raised in legal notice served by them has been rejected by the competent authority vide order dated 18.08.2021, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioners do not press the instant

petition but pray for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 18.08.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.09.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No