

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-27106-2022
Decided on : 22.09.2022

Gaurav

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

On 01.09.2022, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh.

-.-

Learned Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh, submits that present petition is for seeking anticipatory bail only on the premise of issuance of non-bailable warrants by the trial Court against the accused, for his non-appearance in a bailable offence.

Learned Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh, further refers to the order dated 22.06.2022, passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court, wherein, it has been recorded that the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for some time to assist the Court and also to move an application as per law before the trial Court and accordingly, the matter was adjourned to 05.07.2022.

Thereafter, on 05th July, 2022, petitioner did not appear and on 20th July, 2022, again counsel for the petitioner sought an adjournment. Again on 08th August, 2022, no one has

appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Today again, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 22.09.2022.

Registry is directed to inform learned counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed in the matter. It is made clear that despite information, if no one appears on behalf of the petitioner on the date fixed, an appropriate order would be passed in the matter.”

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner was informed through email about the date fixed before this Court. However, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

From the conduct of the petitioner, it appears that he is not interested in pursuing the proceedings of this petition and the same is lying unnecessarily before this Court.

In view of the said situation, there is no option with this Court except to dismiss the petition for not prosecuting the same.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 22, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No