

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.29619 of 2021
Date of Decision: 07.09.2022**

Amrik Singh Bamb

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, A.A.G, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, Nodal Officer, District Kapurthala, apprises the Court that though the petitioner had joined in the investigation in compliance of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench on 28.07.2021 but he did not co-operate the investigating agency and therefore, his custodial interrogation would be required for effecting the recovery of the weapon allegedly used in the commission of the offence and also to enquire about the identity of the other unknown assailants, accompanying him at the time of the commission of the offence.

However, none has appeared in the Court today to represent

the petitioner despite the instant matter having been taken up thrice since morning and it is also pertinent to mention here that the petitioner had remained unrepresented on 24.05.2022 also.

In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, the petition in hand stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 07, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>