

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13624-2022
Date of decision: 03.08.2022**

GURPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to issue appointment letter to the petitioner for the post of Constable.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer to the extent that the representation dated 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-8), served upon the respondents should be decided expeditiously. He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is also present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the

petitioner. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the official responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

03.08.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>