

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

**CRM-M-26821-2022
Date of Decision: 20.06.2022**

MUSKAN

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to investigate the matter in a fair and impartial manner.

The learned counsel has, however, failed to demonstrate any vested interest of the investigating officer and/or agency against the petitioner or in favour of the accused persons, and as to why the fair and impartial investigation would not be conducted in the matter. The dissatisfaction against the investigation conducted cannot be the basis to impugn the investigation being not fairly conducted. The petitioner shall have recourse to the remedies that are available to her in accordance with law in the event the petitioner would not be satisfied with the investigation so conducted or the final report so submitted by the investigating agency.

In the absence of any substantial document/material to *prima facie* establish that the investigation of the case is not being conducted fairly, the present petition is apparently a tool to pressurize the investigating agency.

In view of the above, the instant petition stands dismissed.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

20.06.2022

rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No