

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26713-2022

Date of Decision: 5th July, 2022

Parmod Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Kanika Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in case of FIR No. 8, dated 13th April, 2022, under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station SVB, Gurgaon, District Gurugram.

2. As per the case set up Parmod Kumar-petitioner at the relevant time was posted as ESI at Police Station Badshahpur. He demanded money of Rs. 10,000/- from the complainant for getting his amount released from Hydracren Oriental Structure Pvt. Ltd. On a complaint, trap was laid and petitioner was caught red handed. The allegations were supported with an audio recording.

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 13th April, 2022, investigation is complete and challan stands presented. He further submits that petitioner has volunteered to give his voice sample and he is not involved in any other case.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer and the contention is that petitioner was caught red handed accepting the money. The contention

is that the date for getting the voice sample is fixed for 13th September, 2022.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering that the allegations are supported by an audio recording and petitioner is ready to give his voice sample, no useful purpose would be served by depriving his personal liberty, moreso, inspite of completion of investigation conclusion of the trial is likely to take time and he has no criminal antecedents, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. The petition is allowed.

7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Since the main petition has been disposed of, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

5th July, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No