

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26854-2022

Date of decision: 14.07.2022

Ajeet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.297 dated 11.04.2021, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram, under Sections 193, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the only allegation against the petitioner is that Jai Bhagwan had transferred an amount of Rs.20,000/- in the account of the wife of the petitioner; that the aforesaid fact of transferring the amount came in the disclosure statement of the aforesaid co-accused and the petitioner; that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.02.2022; that Ram Kishan, who had prepared the forged certificate of one Deepak, has since been granted the concession of regular bail by the Court of Session. On this premise, learned counsel prays that the petitioner be released on regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that

Jai Bhagwan, father of Deepak @ Naveen had transferred Rs.20,000/- in the account of the wife of the petitioner, for procuring the forged certificate of his son to prove him a juvenile in FIR No.146 of 2020, under Sections 302 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Kherki Dhaura, and that it was Ram Kishan alias Ram, who had prepared the forged certificate. Learned State counsel further submits that in his disclosure statement, the petitioner admitted his involvement in the present case.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 24.02.2022. Co-accused Ram Kishan, who had prepared the forged certificate of Deepak, has since been granted the concession of regular bail by the Court of Session. Charges have already been framed. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. There is no other case registered or pending against the petitioner. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

14.07.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No