

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.115

CRM-M No.8867 of 2016
Date of Decision: 15th July, 2022.

Neena Mehta & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma Advocate,for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Deol, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. G.K.Mann, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioners would be resorting to the appropriate alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, for seeking the relief as sought in this petition and moreover, due to her peculiar health condition and other circumstances, petitioner No.3 would also be moving an appropriate application before learned trial Court for seeking the exemption from her personal appearance in the Court in connection with the criminal case pending there.

Learned State counsel as well as learned Senior counsel for respondent No.2 have no objection for the withdrawal of the present petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

15.07.2022.
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No