

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5782-2022

Date of Decision: 15.06.2022

KOMAL SHARMA AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO

Present: - Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 because they have solemnized marriage on 10.06.2022 against the wishes of said respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners identifies the petitioners on the basis of documents attached. It is contended that petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 12.06.2000 and 01.01.1997, respectively. Aadhar cards of both the petitioners are appended with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively. Marriage Certificate is also on record as Annexures P-3. It is submitted that there is no impediment to the marriage of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners have submitted representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 i.e. the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot, for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Advance copy of the petition stands served.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or validity of their marriage or validity and genuineness of the certificates/documents appended with the petition, respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot, is directed to look into representation (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners, and take appropriate action if any/as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

June 15, 2022

Ess Kay

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No