

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 205(1)

**CRM-M No.26654 of 2022
Date of decision : 10.08.2022**

Deepak Soni

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. D.P.S. Joura, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.483 dated 16.08.2021 registered under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Narnaund, Hansi, district Hisar.

On 11.07.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case because the petitioner and the complainant's granddaughter got married against the wishes of her family members; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter and in pursuance of the afore compromise the petitioner has filed a petition seeking quashing of the FIR in question and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant admits to the compromise between the petitioner and the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 10.08.2022.

To be heard along with CRM-M-25251-2022 - Deepak Soni vs. State of Haryana.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.483, dated 16.08.2021, registered under Sections 363, 366-A IPC at Police Station Narnaund, District Hansi, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 11.07.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

10.08.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No