

(218) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26999-2022

Date of Decision: 12.07.2022

Rampal Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, D.A.G, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition is for the grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in cross-case GDR No.04 dated 27.02.2022 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.24 dated 27.02.2022 registered under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149, 336, 506 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1).

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are accused in the cross-version and otherwise, it is a case of a family dispute and both sides are related to each other. He contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioners and even otherwise, a settlement has been arrived at between the parties, as per which the co-accused of the petitioners namely, Ranjodh Singh, Amandeep Singh and Nirbai Singh have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by

this Court vide orders dated 09.06.2022, 27.05.2022 and 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-4). He further contends that in the main case, all the co-accused persons have been granted the concession of bail, on account of a compromise having been effected between the parties. He thus, contends that the petitioners who are in custody since 27.02.2022 ought to be granted the concession of bail as the investigation stands completed and none of the 17 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for both the parties at length.

8. Admittedly, it is a case of version and cross-version and the occurrence took place between the close family members. A compromise has been effected between the parties as per which accused on both sides have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail/regular bail in various petitions some of which have been referred to hereinabove. The petitioners are in custody since the last almost 05 months and the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. Thus, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

9. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

10. Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

12.07.2022

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No