

157

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRWP-5750-2022****Date of Decision: 13.06.2022**

Ragini and another

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO

Present: - Mr.Kanav Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5 and other relatives because they have solemnised marriage on 09.06.2022 against the wishes of said respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners identifies the petitioners on the basis of documents attached. It is contended that petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 01.08.1999 and 18.08.1993, respectively. Aadhar cards of both the petitioners are appended with the petition as Annexures P1& P2, respectively. Marriage certificate and the photographs of marriage are on record as Annexures P3 & P4. It is submitted that there is no impediment to the marriage of the petitioners. Petitioners, it is stated, submitted representation dt. 09.06.2022 (P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the

Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Advance copy of the petition stands served.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or validity of their marriage or validity and genuineness of the certificates/documents appended with the petition, respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar is directed to look into representation (P5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action if any/as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

13.06.2022

Vivek

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No