

CRWP-5901-2022
Date of Decision: 7th July, 2022

Muskan and another
Versus
Petitioners

State of Haryana and others
Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. B.S.Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners were in live-in-relationship and there was a threat perception.

Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the petitioner No.1 and her mother have been recorded by HC Sonu, P.S. Sadar Dadri. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception.

In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

The petition is dismissed.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

7th July, 2022
anuradha

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /no