

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13553-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 13, 2022

Devender Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to call the petitioner for interview slated for 22.05.2019, being eligible and suitable candidate.

2. Pledged case is that pursuant to advertisement dated 10.12.2015 (Annexure P-1), petitioner applied for the post of Deputy Ranger, Category 11, under SC category. Written examination was conducted and therefore, result was declared on 04.08.2017 (Annexure P-3) wherein roll number of the petitioner was found mentioned. Petitioner was accordingly, called for scrutiny of documents on 11.08.2017. As per answer key supplied to the petitioner, he got 188 marks in the written examination. However, petitioner was not called for the interview.

3. I have heard rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. Vide order dated 21.05.2019 passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner was provisionally allowed to be interviewed and his result was ordered to be kept in a sealed cover. Now result has been declared and the same reveals that petitioner secured aggregate of 155 marks. On a Court query, learned State counsel under instructions from Ms. Mehvish Ansari, Legal Assistant, HSSC submits that petitioner has secured lesser marks than the last selected candidate, who scored 156 marks. He further submits that no candidate having lesser score than the petitioner has been appointed on the post in question.

5. In the premise, it seems that being unaware of his actual result, the petitioner was misled into filing the instant petition premised on an understanding that he has secured more marks than the last selected candidate.

6. The result of the petitioner is not under challenge before this Court. Being so, no further grounds to interfere are made out.

7. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

September 13, 2022

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No