

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-26325-2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Ritika and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Gaurav Pathania, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 13.06.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on the instructions from the concerned police official. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

Given above, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.06.2022 is made absolute, subject to the following condition:

Within fifteen days from today, the petitioners shall forward to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) the complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewelry, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand.

If the petitioners fail to comply with this condition, then on this ground alone, the bail might be canceled, and the complainant may file any such application for the cancellation of bail, and State shall file the said application.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No