

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CWP-14384-2021

Date of Decision :13.07.2022

Tara Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chand Ram Olla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was retrenched while working with HSMITC in the year 2002 as the said corporation was closed and, thereafter, the State of Haryana issued a policy in the year 2006 for adjusting the retrenched staff and the similarly situated employees, as the petitioner, were adjusted in various corporations as per the instructions of the State of Haryana but, the claim of the petitioner was not considered by the respondents, which has caused prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had filed a representation in the year 2006 claiming the benefit of the Policy issued by the respondent-State in the year 2006 but, as of now, the petitioner is being running from pillar to post to seek the relief, for which, he was entitled for, under the policy issued by the respondent-State in the year

2006.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 29.04.2021 (Annexure P/8) in respect of the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition, which is still pending consideration with the respondents as the Government of Haryana, who were to adjust the employees has not responded to the same so far and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage in case a time bound direction be issued to the respondent No.1 to decide the said claim of the petitioner as raised in the said legal notice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 29.04.2021 (Annexure P/8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his legal notice, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the legal notice 29.04.2021 (Annexure P/8) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 13, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No