

202+2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50532-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.11.2022

INDU SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. G.B. Gilhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for the respondents No.2, 4 to 7.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Section 482 is seeking permission to examine witnesses who have been cited as witnesses in the complaint whereas police in its challan has not cited them as witnesses.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that he may be granted one opportunity to examine the witnesses who are material witnesses.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the private respondents are unable to point out any one logical reason to deny the prayer sought and to indicate that prayer if allowed would prejudice the interest of the accused.

Without going into merits and findings of learned Trial Court and Revisionary Court, in the interest of justice, the petitioner is granted one opportunity to examine the witnesses who have been cited in

the complaint.

Learned State counsel submits that matter is fixed before Trial Court today itself. The Trial Court shall examine all the witnesses of petitioner on the next date fixed for hearing.

On no ground adjournment shall be granted. If the petitioner fails to examine the witnesses no further opportunity shall be granted.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.11.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>