

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5640-2022
Date of decision: 23.06.2022

Pankaj Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent no.5.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Seeking rescue of his wife from the clutches of respondent no.4 - Baba and respondent no.5-maid, the petitioner had come up before this Court by filing the present *habeas corpus* petition.

Vide order dated 09.06.2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court had appointed a Warrant Officer, who was directed to visit the residences of respondents no.4 and 5 and set the alleged detainee free forthwith after recording her statement.

Pursuant thereto, the Warrant Officer has submitted his report which is taken on record. As per the report, the Warrant Officer has stated that he had visited residences of respondents no.4 and 5, however, the alleged detainee was not found there. The report further mentions that an FIR was also registered by the petitioner on 24.5.2022 and efforts are being made by the police to trace out the alleged detainee.

Given the aforesaid report of the Warrant Officer, no further order is required to be passed in this petition at this stage and the same is rendered infructuous.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of, having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to file any other appropriate petition if he is so advised in accordance with law.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

June 23, 2022
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.