

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA At CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26168-2022

Decided on:10.06.2022

NAVJOT SINGH

.....Petitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J(ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C has come up before this Court seeking anticipatory bail arising out of FIR No.104 dated 30.05.2022, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to the contents of FIR that the alleged transactions relate to the period from 25.09.2019 till August 2021 and the present FIR was got registered on 30.05.2022 after more than nine months. The allegations against the petitioner are regarding withdrawal of some amount from the bank account of complainant who is her maternal aunt in relation. The petitioner was not even named in the FIR initially.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent – State and on instructions from ASI Badal Singh, submits that during investigation, the petitioner has admitted his role in the withdrawal of said amount through ATM. Such admission is also corroborated during investigation with the help of CCTV footage from the ATM counter as well as the mobile phone location of the petitioner during the said period when the offence was committed. Learned State counsel further contends that recovery of Rs.8,21,500/- is to be effected.

In the light of said facts and the discussion made hereinabove, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief of anticipatory bail, at this state.

Dismissed.

10.06.2022
mamta

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>