

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14089 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision:02.02.2022**

Rajwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. G.S. Bal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

(Proceedings through video conferencing)

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner confines his argument only to the extent of deciding his complaint No.197 of 2019, which was taken cognizance of by respondent No.3, vide letter memo No.134/1331-E dated 29.01.2020, vide which the petitioner was asked to comply with

certain conditions on affidavit. Petitioner has already complied with the requirement, but till date, the complaint has not been decided in accordance with law.

Learned State counsel however, submits that the scope of the present writ petition is in the domain of public interest for which the petitioner is required to comply with the terms and conditions of PIL Rules of 2010.

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 also submits that there is a contested litigation between the petitioner and respondent No.5 and the present petition cannot be filed as a tool to settle personal vendetta.

Perusal of letter dated 29.01.2020 would show that respondent No.3/Executive Engineer, Vigilance and Quality Control Division, Irrigation Branch, Amritsar has addressed to the petitioner for compliance of certain conditions and after compliance thereof, no decision has been taken by respondent No.3. Reply filed by respondent No.4 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 is silent in respect of any such decision taken by respondent No.3. Para No.10 of the reply shows that the para needs no reply.

Having considered the matter, I am of the view that there cannot be any interference in the main prayer of the petitioner. Since the petitioner has confined his prayer only to

the extent of deciding his complaint by respondent No.3 in accordance with law, therefore, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take an appropriate action on the complaint No.197 of 2019 in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove. Let the needful be done within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of

02.02.2022	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
Prince	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No