

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 203 (2)

CRM-M No. 26185 of 2022

Date of decision : 05.08.2022

Gurdeep Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 44 dated 02.05.2022 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC at Police Station Rangar Nangal, police district Batala, district Gurdaspur.

On 10.06.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Petitioners have approached this Court praying for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.44 dated 2.5.2022, under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that they are the parents-in-law of the complainant/respondent no.2 and the matrimonial discord has taken place between husband and wife on account of

the temperamental differences. Counsel submits that the allegations pertaining to harassment of the complainant on account of demand of dowry are totally false and frivolous. He further submits that there is a possibility of settlement between the parties if the matter is referred to Mediation Centre of this Court.

Notice of motion for 5.8.2022.

To be heard along with CRM-M-26162-2022.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no.1-State.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. They shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- (ii) That the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*
- (iii) That the petitioners shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.”*

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined and cooperated with the investigation and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 10.06.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is ordered to be made absolute.

05.08.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No