

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M-29121-2021 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 17.10.2022**

Ishu @ Vishant Tyagi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana,  
assisted by PSI Arvind Kumar.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.120 dated 28.06.2021 at Police Station Sanoli, District Panipat, under Section 506 IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 27.07.2021, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the allegations against him pertain to Section 506 IPC to the effect that he had threatened the complainant and had demanded an amount of Rs.7.5 lakhs but even as per the FIR the said threat was extended telephonically only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in fact even on a previous occasion the daughter-in-law of the complainant had lodged a false case against the petitioner regarding alleged poisoning but subsequently she did not support the case of the prosecution and he was

acquitted which necessarily shows that the complainant and his family is inimical towards the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 16.11.2021.

At this stage Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the complainant and has submitted that since the petitioner is a habitual offender, no case for grant of interim bail is made out.

I have considered the aforesaid submission.

Having regard to the nature of allegation particularly the fact that it is a case of threat issued through telephone only, in my opinion it is a fit case for grant of interim bail.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Vide order dated 09.05.2022, the matter had been adjourned as it had been submitted by the learned State counsel that the petitioner had been directed to furnish his voice samples.
4. Today, learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and has also furnished his voice samples and that he is not required for any custodial interrogation. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has, however, opposed the petition on the ground that the petitioner happens to be involved in 3 other cases.
5. This Court has considered the aforesaid submissions.
6. Since the petitioner is stated to have appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined investigation and has also cooperated inasmuch as he has furnished his voice samples, the petition is accepted and the

interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 27.07.2021 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

**17.10.2022**

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**  
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**