

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.218

CWP-13351-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.12.2022

Amanpartap Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manu Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Toni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present petition is covered by a recent judgment of this Court dated 02.12.2022 passed in CWP-6706-2019 – Gurmandeep Singh Sidhu and others vs. State of Punjab and another.

In view of the above, the present petition is also disposed of by directing the respondent – PUNSUP to consider the reply of the petitioner and decide the same, by passing a speaking order but only after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken shall be communicated to the petitioner and if such decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

As directed in Gurmandeep Singh Sidhu's case (Supra) in case,

orders which are adverse to the petitioner's interests are passed by the respondent – PUNSUP the services of the petitioner would remain protected for four weeks thereafter to enable him to take recourse to his legal remedies against such order.

December 20, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No