

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124(1)

**CRM-M-29401-2021
Date of Order: 19.01.2022**

SUKHPARTAP SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sullar, DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioners have invoked inherent jurisdiction of the Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to issue directions to respondent No.2 to 4 to conclude the proceedings/investigation in FIR No.192, dated 10.09.2013, registered under Section 66-A and 67 of the Information and Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural).

A reply of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), has been filed.

Para 5 of the reply reads as under:-

“That during the investigation so far, no evidence has been found regarding the involvement of the private respondents No.5 to 10 named by the petitioner in his statement and they were declared innocent, so the respondent No.4 proposed to file the untraced report in the court of learned Area Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar under Section 173 Cr.P.C. with the approval of the respondent No.3, which would be submitted in the court of learned Area Judicial Magistrate very shortly after completing the required formalities.”

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no further order is required to be passed.

Hence, disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 19, 2022

Ay/Chetan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No