

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(105)

CRM-M-26167-2022

Date of Decision: 10.06.2022

Sachin

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under section 438 Cr.P.C praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.127 dated 12.5.2022 registered under sections 21b, 61, 85, 27-A of NDPS Act at Police Station, Nathu Sarai Chopta, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that alleged recovery of 94 gram heroin is from the co-accused and not from the petitioner. He submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedents and hence he deserves to be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Kirpal Singh, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel has apprised the court that the complicity of the petitioner is writ large in this case. He submits that the petitioner has supplied the alleged contraband to the co-accused Vinod Kumar. It is also apparent from the record that there is a transaction of Rs.20,000/- from Phone Pay App by the petitioner. Prima facie, the

complicity of the petitioner in this case does not warrant any leniency.

Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence and the probability of the petitioner being escaped from the investigation, this court finds that granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner would result in scuttling the ongoing investigation. The petition being devoid of any merit is accordingly dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

10.06.2022
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No