

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26206 OF 2022

Date of Decision:- 10.06.2022

Kuldip Singh

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present Mr.Arshdeep S.Khaira, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J(ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C has come up before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail arising out of FIR No.0083 dated 20.10.2021, under Sections 452, 354-D, 427, 509 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and the perusal of FIR also do not constitute an offence. He has also submitted that the complainant has failed to disclose the mobile number in the FIR from which the alleged call was made by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent - State and on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar states that the allegations are serious in nature and it is a case of hooliganism and molesting of a widow lady by scaling the wall of a house.

Looking into the totality of facts and circumstances and also in view of the fact that the investigation is still under way and challan has not been presented; the recovery of mobile phone from which the calls were made to the complainant is yet to be made. However, call details have been procured by the

investigating agency showing the calls made by the petitioner to the

complainant. This Court do not find any merit in the present petition, hence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is declined.

Petition stands dismissed.

10.06.2022
mamta

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No