

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26046-2022
Date of decision : 02.08.2022

Manish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.548 dated 12.07.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 307, 326, 506 IPC at Police Station City Karnal.

On 08.06.2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is identically situated as the petitioner/accused Ashish Juneja, who has been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this High Court on 07.12.2021 in CRM-M-44845-2021. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner till date had never been called upon at any point of time to join investigation and came to know about pendency of FIR in the second week of May, 2022 itself when he was asked to appear before the SHO Police Station City Karnal.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

At request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned

to 02.08.2022.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

08.06.2022

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE"**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Rajender, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 08.06.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.06.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

August 02, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No