

CRWP-6839-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.01.2022

Gogi and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard *via* video conference.

This case has been taken up out of turn on the request of learned counsel for the petitioners, who submits that the petitioners do not wish to pursue this petition.

On 23.07.2021, the following order had been passed by this court:-

“Case heard via video conference.

Vide this petition, the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondent no.4 to 7, they being in a live-in relationship with each other, though petitioner no.1 is stated to be married to respondent no.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 having been ill-treated by respondent no.4 (as contended with no comment made thereupon, whatsoever, by this court), she has chosen to leave his company to reside with petitioner no.2.

Both the petitioners are shown to be well above the age of majority.

Notice of motion is issued, with Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepting notice at the asking of the court on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3.

A copy of the petition be e-mailed to him today itself by learned counsel for the petitioners.

Respondents no.4 to 7 be served by normal process, returnable on 31.08.2021.

In the meanwhile, protection of life and liberty being a basic fundamental right enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India, respondents no.2 and 3, i.e. the SSP, Fazilka and the SHO,

Police Station Sadar, Abohar, shall ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are duly protected.”

Thereafter, though counsel for the petitioners had filed CRM-W-942-2021, seeking to withdraw this petition, the affidavit accompanying it, was seen to be signed by only petitioner no.1 and therefore, learned counsel had been directed to place on record the affidavit of petitioner no.2 also if he wished to withdraw the petition.

Now CRM-W-1279-2021, has been filed seeking to place on record a joint affidavit stated to have been executed by both the petitioners stating to the effect that they do not wish to pursue the petition.

That being so, the applications are allowed with the affidavit dated 11.10.2021, ordered to be taken on record and the petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

January 13, 2022

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO