

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.201

Date of Decision:02.03.2022

1. CRM-M No.29196 of 2021

Kuldeep Kaur & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

2. CRM-M No.31051 of 2021

Bhinder Singh @ Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Both the above-mentioned petitions have been preferred by the petitioners named Kuldeep Kaur & Visakha Singh as well as Bhinder Singh @ Bhupinder Singh, for seeking the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arising out of the same FIR bearing No.79 dated 26.06.2021, registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka, under Sections 379, 353, 186, 341 and 506 IPC.

Learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI

Subhash Chander, from the above-said Police Station, apprises the Court that in compliance of the orders dated 25.08.2021 as passed in both the above-said petitions separately, all the three petitioners have joined in the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required and they are also not involved in any other case of the similar nature.

Keeping in view the above-discussed submissions as made by learned State counsel, both the afore-mentioned petitions are allowed and the relief of interim bail, as extended to the petitioners named Kuldeep Kaur & Visakha Singh as well as Bhinder Singh @ Bhupinder Singh, vide the said orders dated 25.08.2021, is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall continue to join in the investigation as and when required/called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

02.03.2022
monika

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No