

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18315-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.08.2022

Pawan KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to grant/release all the benefits of second ACP to the petitioner with effect from the date as pleaded by him and to re-fix pay of the petitioner accordingly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted legal notice dated 06.12.2021 (Annexure P-8), but the same has not been adverted by the respondents till date.

3. On advance service, learned State counsel appears and submits that a decision will be taken by the competent authority,

either way, on the pending legal notice dated 06.12.2021 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner also seeks decision on the pending legal notice, as suggested by learned State counsel.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to look into the pending legal notice dated 06.12.2021 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner and pass an administrative order, in accordance with law. Respondent No.3 to pass appropriate orders pursuant to advice rendered by respondent No.2 to respondent No.3 vide letter dated 05.04.2022 (Annexure P-9).

7. Let the needful be done as expeditiously as possible, but in any case not later than three months.

8. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

August 22, 2022
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No