

242

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49742-2018

Date of decision:05.05.2022

SONIA DEVI AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, the co-petitioners who, against the wishes of the parents of co-petitioner No.1, who are arrayed, as co-respondents No.4, and, 5 entered into a wed-lock, seek the making of a mandamus, upon the respondents concerned, to provide them adequate security for warding off all threats, as emanate from the above respondents.

2. After notice of motion being accepted by the learned State counsel, a reply to the petition through an affidavit sworn by DSP, Barwala has been placed on record. A perusal of paragraph 4 of the preliminary submissions furnished on affidavit, para whereof becomes extracted hereinafter, reveal, that the purported life endangering threats to their happy wedded life, as emanating from the above respondents, do not survive any longer, as the family members of co-petitioner No.1 have accepted their marriage. The effect of the above is that the petition appertaining to the above does not survive, and, rather becomes infructuous.

“4. That during the course of enquiry, the enquiry officer joined the petitioners in the enquiry and recorded their statements. The petitioners stated that they have solemnized the marriage on

12.11.2018 against the wishes of their family. Now their family members are agree with this marriage. They have filed the petition regarding protection before this Hon'ble High Court. Now neither they have any threat perception from any person nor they need of safety and do not want any action on their petition. The true translation of statements of the petitioners are annexed as annexure R-2 & R-3.”

3. Moreover, in view of paragraph 5 of preliminary submissions of the reply on affidavit, paragraph whereof stands extracted hereinafter, and, with revelations occurring therein, that a cancellation report is proposed to be filed in respect of FIR No.648 of 13.11.2018, lodged at Police Station Barwala, thereupon any surviving grievance in respect of tainted investigations being made thereins, may also not at all remain alive at this stage.

“5. That during course of investigation it has transpired that the petitioner No.1 is major and she has solemnized her marriage with petitioner No.2 who is also major and in view of the statements of the petitioners, a cancellation report in case FIR no.648 dated 13.11.2018 u/s 346 IPC PS Barwala has been written”

4. Dismissed as becoming rendered infructuous.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

05.05.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No